Document 10

Filed 05/05/2008

Page 1 of 11

Case 3:08-cv-00984-EDL

admit that Defendant Contra Costa County is a public entity, as described in the 1 Government Code, and deny that "Department of Health Services" is a government 2 agency, based on lack of information and belief. 3 Responding to the third numbered paragraph of plaintiff's Complaint, Defendants deny 4 3. the allegations based on lack of information and belief. 5 Responding to the fourth numbered paragraph of plaintiff's Complaint, Defendants 6 4. deny the allegations with respect to Defendant Deborah Tyler and Contra Costa County, 7 and deny the allegations of the second sentence of the paragraph. 8 Responding to the fifth numbered paragraph of plaintiff's Complaint, Defendants deny 9 5. the allegations based on lack of information and belief. 10 Responding to the sixth numbered paragraph of plaintiff's Complaint, Defendants deny 6. 11 the allegations of all but the first sentence based on lack of information and belief. 12 Responding to the seventh numbered paragraph of plaintiff's Complaint, Defendants 7. 13 admit the allegations. 14 Responding to the eighth numbered paragraph of plaintiff's Complaint, Defendants 8. 15 deny the allegations. 16 Responding to the ninth numbered paragraph of plaintiff's Complaint, Defendants 9. 17 admit the allegations based on information and belief. 18 Responding to the tenth numbered paragraph of plaintiff's Complaint, Defendants 10. 19 admit the allegations based on lack of information and belief. 20 Responding to the eleventh numbered paragraph of plaintiff's Complaint, Defendants 11. 21 deny the allegations based on lack of information and belief. 22 Responding to the twelfth numbered paragraph of plaintiff's Complaint, Defendants 23 12. deny the allegations. 24 Responding to the thirteenth numbered paragraph of plaintiff's Complaint, Defendants 13. 25 deny the allegations. 26 Responding to the fourteenth numbered paragraph of plaintiff's Complaint, Defendants 14. 27 deny the allegations. 28

Responding to the fifteenth numbered paragraph of plaintiff's Complaint, Defendants 15. 1 deny the allegations based on lack of information and belief. 2 Responding to the sixteenth numbered paragraph of plaintiff's Complaint, Defendants 3 16. deny the allegations based on lack of information and belief. 4 Responding to the seventeenth numbered paragraph of plaintiff's Complaint, 17. 5 Defendants deny the allegations. 6 Responding to the eighteenth numbered paragraph of plaintiff's Complaint, Defendants 18. 7 deny the allegations based on lack of information and belief. 8 Responding to the nineteenth numbered paragraph of plaintiff's Complaint, Defendants 9 19. deny the allegations based on lack of information and belief. 10 Responding to the twentieth numbered paragraph of plaintiff's Complaint, Defendants 20. 11 deny the allegations. 12 Responding to the twenty-first numbered paragraph of plaintiff's Complaint, 13 21. Defendants deny the allegations based on lack of information and belief. 14 Responding to the twenty-second numbered paragraph of plaintiff's Complaint, 22. 15 Defendants admit the allegations of the first sentence and deny the allegations of the 16 second sentence. 17 Responding to the twenty-third numbered paragraph of plaintiff's Complaint, 23. 18 Defendants deny the allegations based on lack of information and belief. 19 Responding to the twenty-fourth numbered paragraph of plaintiff's Complaint, 24. 20 Defendants deny the allegations. 21 Responding to the twenty-fifth numbered paragraph of plaintiff's Complaint, 22 25. Defendants deny the allegations based on lack of information and belief. 23 Responding to the twenty-sixth numbered paragraph of plaintiff's Complaint, 26. 24 Defendants deny the allegations. 25 Responding to the twenty-seventh numbered paragraph of plaintiff's Complaint, 27. 26 Defendants deny the allegations. 27 Responding to the twenty-eighth numbered paragraph of plaintiff's Complaint, 28 28.

22

23

21

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2425

1. AS A FIRST SEPARATE AND DISTINCT AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE to said

Complaint, and each and every cause of action set forth therein, Defendants allege that
the first amended complaint and each cause of action contained therein fails to state any

27

28

26

2. AS A SECOND SEPARATE AND DISTINCT AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE to said

claim upon which relief can be granted.

- 4. AS A FOURTH SEPARATE AND DISTINCT AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE to said Complaint, and each and every cause of action set forth therein, Defendants allege that at all times herein mentioned, all actions taken by Defendants and County employees were reasonable under the circumstances and taken under a good faith belief that the actions were lawful and Defendants and County employees are therefore immune under the Good Faith Immunity Doctrine.
- 5. AS A FIFTH SEPARATE AND DISTINCT AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE to said
  Complaint, and each and every cause of action set forth therein, Defendants allege that
  they are immune from liability, and cannot be held liable for any injury that may have
  been sustained by plaintiff, which injury Defendants specifically deny, and that
  Defendants and employees were at all relevant times performing duties in an
  objectively reasonable manner, within lawful responsibilities, and are therefore immune
  from suit.
- 6. AS A SIXTH SEPARATE AND DISTINCT AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE to said Complaint, and each and every cause of action set forth therein, Defendants allege that each claim and cause of action is barred by the failure of plaintiff to exhaust all available administrative remedies, including but not limited to those available through Defendants Contra Costa County.
- 7. AS A SEVENTH SEPARATE AND DISTINCT AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE to said
  Complaint, and each and every cause of action set forth therein, Defendants allege that
  in the event that the trier of fact finds any liability on the part of these answering
  Defendants, which liability is herein denied, answering Defendants will seek the benefit
  of several liability for non-economic damages as provided in Civil Code sections 1431

through and including 1431.5.

- 8. AS AN EIGHTH SEPARATE AND DISTINCT AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE to said Complaint, and each and every cause of action set forth therein, public entity Defendants allege that they cannot be found liable for punitive damages pursuant to Government Code section 818.
- 9. AS A NINTH SEPARATE AND DISTINCT AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE to said Complaint, and each and every cause of action set forth therein, Defendants allege that as to any state law claims, each cause of action is barred under the provisions of Government Code section 910, et seq., and Code of Civil Procedure section 313 for failure to comply with requirements of filing a timely and sufficient tort claim and failure to set forth in any tort claim filed the facts and theories that are set forth in the pending Complaint.
- 10. AS A TENTH SEPARATE AND DISTINCT AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE to said Complaint, and each and every cause of action set forth therein, Defendants allege that the negligence of others, if any, was greater than the negligence of Defendants, if in fact and Defendant was negligent, and the liability, if any, of said answering Defendants should be diminished in direct proportion to the fault, if any, attributable to others as compared with that of said answering Defendants.
- 11. AS AN ELEVENTH SEPARATE AND DISTINCT AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE to said Complaint, and each and every cause of action set forth therein, Defendants allege that they are immune pursuant to Government Code sections 815 and 815.2.
- 12. AS A TWELFTH SEPARATE AND DISTINCT AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE to said Complaint, and each and every cause of action set forth therein, Defendants allege they are immune pursuant to Government Code section 815.6 for exercise of any mandatory duty when reasonable diligence was used in discharging that duty.
- 13. AS A THIRTEENTH SEPARATE AND DISTINCT AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE to said Complaint, and each and every cause of action set forth therein, Defendants allege that they are immune pursuant to Government Code section 818.2 for adopting or

ANSWER OF DEFENDANTS CONTRA COSTA COUNTY, DEBORAH TYLER, and WILLIAM WALKER, M.D. CV 08 0984 EDL

failing to adopt an enactment or by failing to enforce any law.

- 2 14. AS A FOURTEENTH SEPARATE AND DISTINCT AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE to said Complaint, and each and every cause of action set forth therein, Defendants allege that they are immune pursuant to Government Code section 818.8 for misrepresentations.
  - 15. AS A FIFTEENTH SEPARATE AND DISTINCT AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE to said Complaint, and each and every cause of action set forth therein, Defendants allege that they are immune pursuant to Government Code sections 820.2 and 815.2 for exercise of discretion.
  - 16. AS A SIXTEENTH SEPARATE AND DISTINCT AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE to said Complaint, and each and every cause of action set forth therein, Defendants allege that they are immune pursuant to Government Code sections 820.4 and 815.2 for the execution or enforcement of any law.
  - 17. AS A SEVENTEENTH SEPARATE AND DISTINCT AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE to said Complaint, and each and every cause of action set forth therein, Defendants allege that they are not liable to the plaintiff pursuant to Government Code sections 820.6 and 815.2.
  - 18. AS A EIGHTEENTH SEPARATE AND DISTINCT AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE to said Complaint, and each and every cause of action set forth therein, Defendants allege that any and all culpable acts, omissions, or conduct, if any, which allegedly caused the injuries and damages sought in the Complaint on file in this action, were caused by third parties and therefore answering Defendants are not liable to the plaintiff pursuant to Government Code sections 820.8 and 815.2.
  - 19. AS A NINETEENTH SEPARATE AND DISTINCT AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE to said Complaint, and each and every cause of action set forth therein, Defendants deny they discriminated in any way against Plaintiff, and instead allege that legitimate, non-discriminatory, valid, reasonable and good faith reasons supported each and every decision made by Defendants and its employees in the exercise of legitimate managerial

ANSWER OF DEFENDANTS CONTRA COSTA COUNTY, DEBORAH TYLER, and WILLIAM WALKER, M.D. CV 08 0984 EDL

discretion.

- 20. AS A TWENTIETH SEPARATE AND DISTINCT AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE to said Complaint, and each and every cause of action set forth therein, Defendants allege that they are not liable to the plaintiff pursuant to Government Code sections 815.2 and 821.6.
- 21. AS A TWENTY-FIRST SEPARATE AND DISTINCT AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE to said Complaint, and each and every cause of action set forth therein, Defendants allege that they are not liable to the plaintiff pursuant to Government Code sections 815.2 and 822.2.
- 22. AS A TWENTY-SECOND SEPARATE AND DISTINCT AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE to said Complaint, and each and every cause of action set forth therein, Defendants allege that they are immune pursuant to Civil Code section 47(a) and Government Code section 815.2
- 23. AS A TWENTY-THIRD SEPARATE AND DISTINCT AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE to said Complaint, and each and every cause of action set forth therein, Defendants allege that non-discriminatory, business reasons warranted actions taken with respect to plaintiff's employment as well as the employment of others.
- 24. AS A TWENTY-FOURTH SEPARATE AND DISTINCT AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE to said Complaint, and each and every cause of action set forth therein, Defendants allege that it and its employees are immune from liability pursuant to the provisions of sections 810 through 895.8 of the California Government Code.
- 25. AS A TWENTY-FIFTH SEPARATE AND DISTINCT AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE to said Complaint, and each and every cause of action set forth therein, Defendants allege that plaintiff's action, and claims alleged therein, are barred by the provisions of Code of Civil Procedure section 340(3), 342, and every other limitations period applicable to each and every cause of action alleged in the complaint.
- 26. AS A TWENTY-FIFTH SEPARATE AND DISTINCT AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE to said Complaint, and each and every cause of action set forth therein, Defendants allege

ANSWER OF DEFENDANTS CONTRA COSTA COUNTY, DEBORAH TYLER, and WILLIAM WALKER, M.D.
CV 08 0984 EDL

- that Plaintiff cannot obtain as a remedy under the allegations asserted any of statutory, 1 punitive damages, prejudgment interest or other non-compensatory damages. 2 AS A TWENTY-SIXTH SEPARATE AND DISTINCT AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE to 3 27. said Complaint, Defendants allege that the complaint, and causes of action alleged 4 therein are barred by the doctrines of collateral estoppel and res judicata. 5 6 28. AS A TWENTY-SEVENTH SEPARATE AND DISTINCT AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE to said Complaint, Defendants allege that any and all steps taken with 7 respect to plaintiff and/or other employees were taken for legitimate, non-discriminatory 8 business reasons. 9 AS A TWENTY-EIGHTH SEPARATE AND DISTINCT AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE 29. 10 to said Complaint, Defendants allege that Plaintiff is guilty of unclean hands and 11 therefor cannot recover in this lawsuit. 12 30. AS A TWENTY-NINTH SEPARATE AND DISTINCT AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE to 13 said Complaint, Defendants allege that the actions alleged by Plaintiff in the Complaint 14 do not give rise to protection under any "whistleblowing" statute. 15 AS A THIRTIETH SEPARATE AND DISTINCT AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE to said 31. 16 17
  - Complaint, Defendants allege that they and County employees acted at all times in good faith, and without any discriminatory motive or animus.

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- 32. AS A THIRTY-FIRST SEPARATE AND DISTINCT AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE to said Complaint, and each and every cause of action set forth therein, Defendants allege that Plaintiff herself was responsible for any alleged damage or injury, which damage and injury are specifically denied by Defendants.
- 33. AS A THIRTY-SECOND SEPARATE AND DISTINCT AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE to said Complaint, Defendants allege that the complaint, and causes of action alleged therein are barred the failure of Plaintiff to comply with statutory requirements and prerequisites to support the causes of action alleged, and each of them.
- 34. AS A THIRTY-THIRD SEPARATE AND DISTINCT AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE to said Complaint, Defendants allege that Plaintiff independently and voluntarily

ANSWER OF DEFENDANTS CONTRA COSTA COUNTY, DEBORAH TYLER, and WILLIAM WALKER, M.D.
CV 08 0984 EDL